

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	April 20, 2001	
3	April 20, 2001	
4		Page No.
5		rage No.
6	Court Signs Findings and Conclusions on Defendant's Motion for New Trial and Arrest	
7	of Judgment	3
8	Prosecutor's Sentencing Recommendations	4
9	Statement of Tom Schaefer	8
10	Statement of Pat Schaefer	17
11	Statement of Judy Kaiser	21
12	Statement of Wayne Kaiser	25
13	Defense's Recommendations on Sentencing	30
14	Statement of Jeff Cunningham	30
15	Statement of Officer Wattel	31
16	Statement of Douglas Grange	32
17	Defense's Recommendations on Sentencing	36
18	Statement of Defendant	45
19	Request by Defendant that Restitution and	40
20	Attorney's Fees be Waived	48
21	Court's Sentence	49
22	Certificate	61
23		
24		
25		
23		

APRIL 20, 2001

THE COURT: Good morning. I met briefly with counsel in chambers to go over this morning's schedule. We'll be taking a recess at some point, but during the middle of this proceeding.

We are here on the sentencing matter on State of Washington versus John Douglas Grange, 00-1-190-2, and the first item that we need to deal with is the entry of the findings and conclusions on the motion for new trial and arrest of judgment.

Mr. Simeone have you reviewed as to form the proposed order?

MR. SIMEONE: I reviewed those, your Honor, and, of course, we don't agree with the conclusions, but we approve the order as presented as to form only.

THE COURT: All right, and, Mr. Wetle, if you could pass that over to Mr. Simeone to sign off on, then we'll get that taken care of.

MR. SIMEONE: Your Honor, Mr. Grange has pointed out, and I noticed that in my copy too, that there's some kind of a word missing on line 20, page 2. It doesn't make any sense the way it's written. There's a typographical error.

The Prosecutor's argument was well put together and just reviewed with the jury six days of testimony.

THE COURT: I guess that was a--

MR. WETLE: That's a quote from the tape, your Honor.

3

2

THE COURT: --a quote from my tape of how I was colloquially talk-- colloquially speaking, but the argument merely reviewed the --

have you mark those exhibits for purposes of this hearing,

these various statements. The attorneys have indicated that

4

5

MR. SIMEONE: Okay.

6

THE COURT: --six days of testimony. Madam Clerk, I'll

7

8

9

10

11 through them here in a minute.

12

THE CLERK: (Inaudible)

they have reviewed these statements.

13

THE COURT: Pardon?

14

THE CLERK: (Inaudible)

15

Right, if you wouldn't mind. THE COURT:

sequence, one through whatever it ends up being.

16

(Inaudible) THE CLERK:

17 18

Well, I don't think it really is. The last THE COURT: one is a defendant's exhibit. So yeah, the first ones. All

specifically bring up that I think is an error in the find-

ings, was that there was any statements by anybody about the

shooting itself, because there were no statements by anybody

19

20

but the last one, plaintiff's exhibits. Your Honor, the other point I wanted to MR. SIMEONE:

21

22

23

24

25

about the shooting itself, nobody having witnessed any shooting that occurred.

That would be on line 3 of page 3 of

We can mark them in

I'll go

the proposed findings.

THE COURT: Well, there were statements, there were earwitnesses if not eyewitnesses to the shootings, and that's what I was referring to in that particular finding. So I'll go ahead and sign off on the findings and conclusions on motion for new trial and arrest of judgment.

And then let the record reflect that I have reviewed the presentence investigation report, much of which actually was a recap of the trial testimony, or, I assume, some of the police reports were the source of the Community Corrections Officer's information in that report.

I've also reviewed the State's sentencing memorandum, and a copy of a portion of the sentencing manual that was provided. There was also a copy of State v. Flett, 98 Wash. App. 799 included, which was cited in the State's sentencing memorandum.

So with that-- Let's see, Madam Clerk, I guess for purposes of the record we will also mark the presentence investigation report as an exhibit, and that'll be a State's exhibit, I assume.

All right, we'll hear first from you, Mr. Wetle, and then from any family members that wish to speak on behalf of the victims' families, and then— And I understand you had two per victim. Is that correct?

MR. WETLE: That's correct, your Honor. Both parents wish

to speak to the court.

THE COURT: And then that will conclude your presentation, is my understanding?

MR. WETLE: Yes.

THE COURT: And then we'll take a brief morning recess, and then we'll start in on the defendant's end of it.

Mr. Wetle, then, I'll hear from you.

MR. WETLE: Thank you, your Honor. As the court has noted, you have attended the trial and listened to that, made rulings all the way through so you are intimately familiar with the facts of the case. The PSI then also rehashed the facts of the case, and I don't believe it's going to be appropriate for me to go through and talk about those details again for the court.

I would comment on the fact that this is apparently a rather senseless murder, or murders, in that as the court looks at the motive for the crime, basically all we can find is that this was attempts of the drug industry to further their control over people involved in the manufacture and distribution of LSD and marijuana in this particular circumstance. And that as the war on drugs escalated, the price went up and cost these two young men their lives.

The whole scenario is not good. It is a sign of the deterioration of our community as a whole in terms of dealing with the drug problem.

It is important that the court recognize the significance of this case in terms of what it means from Oakland to Portland to Seattle. Colville just happens to be the location, but I think the ramifications of this case are going to be felt along the West Coast. And I think that based on the evidence that was submitted to the jury that there was a distribution network, a network of drug manufacturing and distribution that is fairly well coordinated, and the grape-vine of communication is speedy and probably fairly accurate, as Nick Kaiser and Josh Schaefer found out.

so what the court does here today, I think, is important in the overall picture of how people in the drug industry treat people that cooperate with the government in terms of the illegal activity that's going on. It was too bad that Mr. Grange was not able to cooperate, at least to my knowledge, to implicate other people that were involved in this murder. His contacts with these two individuals are so slim and so remote that it is unlikely that he, on his own, decided to kill both of them. The fact that other people probably were involved remains to be seen. As I said to the court, to my knowledge there has been no cooperation on his part to follow through and let the federal authorities know other roles that were played in this particular— in these particular homicides.

The lack of remorse is shown in the contact with the police officers, it's shown in the contact with his co-

defendants. He really didn't know these individuals that well, and they were just objects in the drug trafficking industry to him. It would seem that that may rationally show why there was a lack of remorse, but there certainly didn't appear to be any remorse, at least seen from the officers involved in this particular matter.

We'd ask the court to impose the maximum sentence, which is 760 months. We are still dealing with restitution. The Kaisers have submitted a bill for \$415. They also have a headstone that needs to be purchased, and so have asked the court for a continuance to get that particular item taken care of.

The Schaefers are having two types of restitution. One is an ongoing counseling that they are dealing with. At this point it looks like there's \$955 for their daughter, and \$165 for the parents. That counseling is still ongoing. Those sessions will need to be documented and submitted to the court at a later time as well.

Danekas Funeral Home took care of the funeral services for both families at their end, and so there were no charges for that. However, the Schaefers do need to purchase an urn for the remnants of the remains of Josh, and that item would also be forthcoming.

So we would ask the court to schedule a restitution hearing at a later time when these firm figures could be

submitted to the court, and that, certainly, they would not attend that hearing but documents would be submitted, hopefully to the satisfaction of Mr. Simeone, and we'd ask that Mr. Grange waive his presence at that particular hearing.

Of course, the State would ask for the Crime Victims Fund of \$500, the court costs, attorney's fees, and any defense experts that were involved so that they can be added to those costs. As I told the court before, it's my understanding that Mr. Grange would be able to have some moneys taken out of any jobs that he does at the prison, and that money then, a portion, could be allocated to the legal financial obligations that the court imposes.

By statute, the State would ask that he be sentenced to 24 months of community placement. There are various conditions that are set forth in the PSI, and also in the Sentencing Guideline Manual, as to what conditions the court wishes to impose with respect to community placement. Also, depending on the length of the sentence, it's a matter whether some of those are relevant for purposes of sentencing.

We also ask that there be no contact with Tom and Pat Schaefer, Wayne and Judy Kaiser, Maija Soucie, Jeff Cunningham and Dane Williams. If at some time there is a desire on the part of any of the parties involved in this matter to change that no-contact order, I have advised them that they can go through the court to modify that no-contact order for purposes

9

11

10

12 13

1415

16

17 18

19 20

22

21

2324

25

of either limited contact or contact to the degree that is necessary. But at this time, I believe all the families wish to have a general no-contact order.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I missed-- Other than the Schaefe-rs, the Kaisers--

MR. WETLE: Maija Soucie and--

THE COURT: Mr. Williams and Mr. --

MR. WETLE: Cunningham.

THE COURT: -- Cunningham. Okay. Thank you.

MR. WETLE: With that, I would ask, and I'm not sure who would like to go first, Mr. Kaiser or Mr. Schaefer? If Tom Schaefer would come forward and address the court, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That would be fine right there, sir. And it'll pick you up. You don't have to speak right into it.

MR. SCHAEFER: Okay. I guess I'd like to give the court a sense of what we've lost with Josh, the kind of person he was.

Josh was a very loving, caring, gentle, gentle soul. He, as a kid, 14, 15 years old, he, as part of the church youth group, he worked in what they call Work Group. He would take two Saturdays a month and they would go out to the elderly and the poor and help them with repairs and do things for them.

He worked two summers as a volunteer at a camp, Care Free, a camp run for disabled children by the Easter Seals. Then

24

25

after the two years as a volunteer, they hired him as a counselor. He loved children and loved working with children. During those years he used to go to a soup kitchen and help on Friday nights to feed the homeless. That's the kind of person he was. He still was that person.

You know, he stumbled. We had the incident in Oakland, and him, Kim and Sequoia came down and lived with us for two months. They came down at the beginning of February and left early April a year ago. During that time we spoke a lot. Josh was only-only 20. I mean he had a lot to lose, he knew. He had a wonderful baby that he loved. He had a wife, Kim. They called each other husband and wife. gave me such joy to see how in love they were. truly called each other soul mate, and they were just wonderful together.

His son, Sequoia, his joy in being a daddy was just — It was just so beautiful. He would get up— The baby was breast-feeding and he'd get up early so that Kim could sleep after being up feeding the baby at night, and take care of the baby in the morning. He'd give the baby his baths, and every day after the bath he'd take some body oil and massage the baby. He'd take Sequoia out and for walks. He just— just loved children. He loved being a dad.

And you talk about impact, this poor child will never know the love of his father. He'll never learn the lessons of-

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

2324

25

have that relationship that a father and son have. Sequoia, this is a life sentence for him. Sequoia gets no parole, no probation. When he gets older he's going to have to live with the pain of knowing what this— what has happened here.

And Kim-- Kim's devastated. She's living with us now. She has nightmares every night. She sleeps with a light on. She's having a horrible time dealing with this. Josh was the love of her life. You know, she had plans. They wanted to have more children. She feels as though that part of her life is over. She's just crushed, as we all are. Our families, extended families, are as well.

You know, Josh's sister, Jessie--When Josh turned up missing, we spent three and a half months in that hell of searching for him. Spent a fortune. Flew up here, put posters everywhere. Our long distance bills were running \$500 a month. We were desperate trying to find him and-- 'Cause I mean he called me every week. We were close. would never have left Kim or the baby. We knew that. We knew that he was--We were hoping that he had some kind of amnesia or some kind of injury, but-- Anyway, I was talking about Jessie. She--She went into counseling shortly after he disappeared. Just a couple weeks ago we had to-- Pat had to take off work to go get Jessie at school. You know, our counselor has couldn't stop crying. When-told us that this is really, really starting to hit her hard.

I mean she's 17 years old, she's just trying to learn how to be an adult and how to deal with life, and this has devastated her.

One day we were sitting at home, I was talking about Sequoia, and, you know, we always show Sequoia pictures of his daddy and talk about him, and him and Kim were reading a book and they flipped the book, and there's a picture of Josh. And Sequoia goes:

Daddy. Daddy gone.

He picks the picture up and walks over and drops it in the trash can. I mean our heart breaks every day.

Pat has carried this boy in her womb, he's our firstborn, our only son. She's basically had a nervous breakdown. She's working part time and she can't work all the time. She misses a lot of work. She's-- Her memory's shot. She can't-- She's just surviving.

I live with the image. You know, I have these— Trying to remember Josh and the good times and the good pieces of him. He was— He was a good person. And sitting in this courtroom— It was actually my birthday, February 14th, when the medical examiner showed us the bullet wounds to the back of Josh's head. And it was Dane that described the bullet wound to his forehead and his face, and the bloody mess and moving the bodies, and the quote, the defendant ____ with a head shot. I live with this image. I can't think of my son

without thinking of this image. This is 24 hours a day. I wake up in the middle of the night, and that's the image I have in my head.

People talk about the good times, his old birthdays, Christmas, and this just comes to me. And the image ends with Grange holding the gun, just like they described, and I have to live with that every day of my life, every moment of every day.

I mean I'm going to try and-- I realize that it's-- it's not good. I've gone to counseling. I started counseling a few weeks ago to try to get over that. I have to be able to think of my son in the beautiful way that we had our relationship, and not-- not continuing this hateful, ugly thought.

I mean devastated is the only word that can describe the impact this has had on our family. And that's just-- not just me and Pat, Jessie, Kim and Sequoia. It's my brothers and sisters, Josh's cousins. Some of his cousins he grew up with and, you know, I talk to them and they just cry and just can't believe this happened.

I live with the fear of my daughter-- I mean she's 17, she's a teenager, and, my God, when she's out of my sight I-- I worry.

Our lives have been changed forever by this, and none of us get probation or parole. I mean this is a lifetime sentence. Jessie has no brother. Kim has no love. Sequoia

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

has no daddy. I ___ no son. I have no son.

I've been diagnosed, since I've gone to this counseling, that I have— Clinically depressed and that I have— I forget what it's called. Anyhow, the stress syndrome kind of thing people get when they go to battle, because it's been almost a year of battle. I mean he was missing for three and a half months, and they found him murdered. Not only murdered, but mutilated, burnt, even left for animals. And, you know, the whirlwind of the investigation and the trial, and now this.

There's another victim here too, and I don't know-- It's this killer's father. I mean I'm a dad who lost my son, and The relationship between a I've been devastated by this. father and son is something special. And this-- this killer, when he took Josh and Nick, he took a piece of his father with I know his father came up to me during the trial and him. said if my son did this he should get what he deserves. Still don't know what to say, I didn't know what to say. except that I think he's probably an honorable man who came here and tried to tell the truth, and he bought the gun that killed my boy, and he must-- I believe he's in pain, and that this killer killed-- took a piece of his father's heart when he killed these boys.

I'll probably think of something I wish I had said later, but I don't know what else to say, except that we are devas-

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

tated emotionally and financially. I'm supporting Josh's son I spent a lot of money searching for Josh. and his wife. Emotionally, we're-we're a wreck, you know. And this killing, in my mind, I mean this animal kills for money, he kills for prestige in his organization, and I keep thinking in my mind why-- why didn't they try to separate Josh from Nick? From the testimony in the trial, Nick was the target of this killing, and, I mean, they talked about spending. I mean they spent -- They overdose them on LSD. They could have done that to Josh and he never would have known what happened. They made no attempt to separate Josh from the target. He even made a comment that someone testified to that:

I feel sorry for whoever comes with Nick.

Not that he felt sorry, but they're going to get what Nick's getting. Like it was more trouble to try to separate them than it was to kill him. It's easier to kill than to make an effort to separate the person that's not the target of this hit. So we've got a guy that kills for money, kills for prestige in his crime organization, and kills for convenience, because it's more convenient to kill than to separate someone that's not the target. He should get the maximum sentence, and he should get what Josh got, but I know that's not in the Sentencing Guidelines.

But, you know, we'll never have peace with this, I don't think. Hopefully we'll have justice and we'll get the

punishment deserved in the worst penitentiary that he can be sent to. I'm told Walla Walla is not a pleasant place to be. And a double, premeditated murder deserves to be in the hardest place to be.

I have a little letter that Kim wrote. Can I-- Can I read that? She's not here.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SCHAEFER: And she kind of wrote this to Grange:

Well, I can think of a lot of things I'd like to say-- call you and say to you, but I won't go there. You're a sorry excuse for a man with plenty of time to think about what a soulless person you are.

I can't even begin to say how many-- how this has affected so many beautiful people's lives. Josh brought complete joy to everyone. He is our bright shining light. Just think of how Josh's parents and sister must feel. I'm sure you wouldn't want your family to have to go through this pain. They are dealing with you, but you _____ are somehow still on this planet with no benefit or value to anyone.

Josh's son, Sequoia, now has no sweet poppa to guide and teach him with the amazing talents the only way-- the way only a daddy could. He was the best ever.

I'm sure you aren't thinking about who he could possibly be when you violently took him away from us. For no reason does anyone ever deserve that type of execution.

Josh was the sweetest, kindest and gentlest man and friend I've ever known. I miss him so much. I'm glad you'll never have the chance to have children or a family. You'll have plenty of time sitting in Walla Walla to think about what it would be like to have a happy family and be with the woman you love.

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Where are your friends now? They're not backing you. They've abandoned you. ____ able to visit you in your nightmares, because I know you come to mine. We live in a hell you provided with the cold-blooded murder of the love of my life.

Kim didn't want to be here 'cause she didn't want to be in this ugly-- Didn't want to bring Sequoia up to this environment. She anguished over that, but I think it was best. I don't want to be here either. I don't want to be in the room with this killer.

I guess there's nothing else to say, except that there's a lot of people that Josh was-- Josh stumbled. I mean, no I mean he was a wonderful person. He still was that wonderful person when he got involved in drugs. He was 21 for five weeks. He was 21-- He'll be 22 next Friday, and, you know, when they came down and stayed with us for those two months, I mean I could see the growth in him. I mean we talked a lot, and I really believe that he knew what he had to lose. He had that child and he loved him more than anything, more than life, as I loved him. And he, given time, I believe would have -- And he was. I mean he was backing away from I mean now he doesn't get a chance to grow, he doesn't get a chance to -- to reach his potential, which was great.

I guess that's all. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Schaefer. All right, Mr.

18

20

22

21

23 24

25

Wetle?

MR. WETLE: Pat Schaefer would be next, your Honor.

All right, Mrs. Schaefer? THE COURT:

MRS. SCHAEFER: Your Honor, I have a letter that my daughter wrote, ____. She couldn't be here didn't want to be in the same room.

> My brother, Josh, didn't deserve to die. did nothing to deserve what you did to him. had a wife and a son. Now Sequoia will never get to know his father like he should have, and someday we will have to explain to him what happened to him.

> You took more from this family than you'll ever know. Josh was a loveable person who would never I feel sorry for you because you hurt a soul. thought by killing Nick and my brother that your life would get better and that your friends would stand by you. Now you're going to jail for a very Now you are the one with your life long time. being taken away from you. I hope you think about Josh every day of your life.

Jessie wanted me to show Your Honor, I don't know--Grange a picture of Josh and her and Sequoia the last time we were together on our camping trip. I don't know if that's all right?

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you hand it over to Mr. Simeone, if you would, please.

She made me promise I would MRS. SCHAEFER: She made--That was a camping trip we had taken when Josh was show it. But that was their last down, and we had a real nice time. picture together. Josh and Jess were close.

5 6

8

7

10

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

24

23

25

And I just wrote a few notes here. Tom said a lot of what I wanted to say, but the pain and agony is unimaginable. soul's been ripped out of me, and my heart, and I'll never be I used to be a very happy, optimistic the same person. person, and I -- and I easily thought that if you put good That's not the karma out there, good karma will come to you. way the world works. Forty-five years old and I just found that out.

When you murdered Josh, you killed our family also. whole family has not slept since Josh disappeared. I'm on antidepressants and Zanax (?). I'm in dire pain, our whole family's in dire pain. I'm in therapy with a therapist who specializes in trauma. I was ___ traumatized by all of this. Now I have nightmares, I can't sleep, I can't function. work part-time and I barely can make my job. I have a muscle disorder that is aggravated by stress, and some days I can't even walk. You have emotionally and physically crippled me.

We suffer from posttraumatic stress syndrome, and that's what you get when you go to war, and we went to battle looking Josh called me twice before he disappeared. for Josh. and I are tight, really tight. My children are everything to me.

Unfortunately, Josh called and I wasn't home that Friday At that time I didn't know he was calling me from up night. So when I found out he hadn't been in touch with Kim, here.

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25

I knew something drastic had happened because Josh and I had long talks.

When Sequoia was born I went up to stay with them, and we talked about what being a dad meant to him, and that he'd found a soul mate in Kim. And the happiest day of my life was April 27th when Josh was born, and his birthday is Friday. get to bring his ashes home. I get to go there after the other two sentencings in _____. This will be a day from hell, as my life has been since you took him from me. You didn't even know Josh. He was just -- He was an inconvenience to you 'cause he was there. He was there to be a friend to Nick. You didn't even know him. And the word that always comes to mind, all my-cause we have a large ____ Tom and I that Josh was very, very tight with. Very tight And we're all just devastated, and they all said the same thing, what a gentle soul. You didn't know him. He did stumble. Drugs are bad. He knew he had made mistakes and was trying to change his life, but you took that from him.

When Josh was little he was afraid of monsters, and-- I mean really deathly afraid of monsters. And I would always tell him that monsters were pretend and that they really didn't exist, and that I would always be there to protect him. But I was wrong. I was really wrong, 'cause you came out of the darkness and you ambushed my baby, and you are a monster.

You know, I never saw Josh fight with anyone, and he was

21. He just was gentle from the time he was born, and we've lost a lot, and my heart and soul has been broken, and I've always tried to— to believe that my goal was to get through life with my soul intact and my heart intact, and that's not possible now. You took all that from me.

I'll never be the same person I was, but I refuse to let you make me a bitter person. I won't be bitter.

And what you've done to your family, I can't even imagine.

It broke my heart to see your father every day and _____.

And your sister. It just broke my heart.

And now I have a picture of Josh on his 21st birthday with Sequoia, and a picture of Josh with Sequoia this Christmas, this past Christmas, but he'll never have that. I hope you look at them, _____ Josh's face and his family, and his baby _____. You've caused us such hell. We were so happy that Christmas. And this was on his 21st birthday up at their cabin, with the pride and joy of his life. Sequoia is the pride and joy of my life now. Thank God we have him.

I read Jessie's letter. My daughter is devastated. I only have one child now left. It's hard enough being 17 without having to deal with the death of your brother, the murder, and brutal murder. The trauma therapy is hopefully going to help because I just keep reliving the trial in my head and the agony of Josh being missing for so long, and knowing that something terrible happened to him, and having to

function and still deal with life and still go to the grocery store and still exist. That's _____, exist. And that's about all I do is exist. I don't sleep, I don't go out of the house. Hopefully one day I'll get some of my life back, but I don't smile anymore, and I used to smile and laugh all the time.

You took a lot more than just Joshua. You brutally shot him in the head. He was the most non-violent person I've ever met in my life. So for him to die so violently is just-_______. But hopefully my trauma therapy will work and I won't be as traumatized and maybe I'll be able to sleep some day. But I hate to say it, but may you burn in hell because you put us through such hell. We'll never get relief from this, and may you never get relief _____. May you think of Josh when you close your eyes at night, and may he be the first thing you think of the rest of your life when you wake up, because I won't have him this April 27th to celebrate his birthday with him. I have his ashes.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Schaefer.

MR. WETLE: Your Honor, we'd ask that Judy Kaiser come forward.

MRS. KAISER: Your Honor, we not only lost a son, but we lost a brother too. He has two younger brothers at home. One is 13 and one just turned eight. Our eight year old son is

afraid to grow up. He's afraid that once he leaves our house something bad's going to happen to him too.

Again, Nicholas was only 21. He called us constantly, every day, or at least every week, to let us know that he was okay, to let us know where he was at, that we could know that he was okay.

He was looking forward to coming home and starting a life over. He knew he had made mistakes. He should have been allowed to rectify the mistakes that he made; he didn't get that chance.

He was our first son. And the hardest day of my life was the day that we received a phone call stating that his vehicle had been found, and could we please send his dental records for identification. Our hearts and souls were tore out when that call came stating that that was our son. How do you tell his brothers that Nick's never coming home anymore?

All our hopes and dreams for Nick died that day too. We knew something must have happened 'cause, like I say, he always called us constantly. That's the only thing I ever asked him, is if he'd go anywhere, please call us and let us know where you're at, that you're okay, that we have that peace of mind. And he always did that. Even if it was calling late at night 'cause he never remembered the time difference, that he'd call just to say he was thinking of us and that he loved us. That he just wanted to call to say hi.

We've had to go through Nick's birthday without him. It was the hardest day of my life. ___ November the 11th he turned 22.

Like I said, he made mistakes. I believe with all my heart and soul that, giving it more time and our prayers and our encouragement, he could have turned his life around. He could have made a life for himself. We won't ever know, or we will never have a grandchild from Nicholas. He did not get a chance or a choice to get married, to have a son or a daughter, and that's something that we've lost, we can never get back.

I lost my train of— The day that Nicholas was killed—I know. The only thing we're guilty of is loving our son, and the day that Mr. Grange killed him, he gave us a life sentence. We have to live our lives without him now. We don't get a chance to appeal. We don't get the chance to have a mistrial. We don't get a chance to get off for good behavior. We don't get a chance to maybe get paroled.

I have trouble sleeping at night. My concentration at work is out the window. I still function, but it's like I'm on automatic pilot. I'm just so thankful I do have two sons that I can lean on. And they're so much like their brother that I can see Nicholas in them when I look at them. When they speak they sound a lot like him. My oldest one is looking a lot like Nicholas, and they both loved him very

much. Every time Nicholas called they always asked,

When's Nick-Nick coming home?

Or when they talked to him on the phone,

Nicholas, when are you coming home?

And he had plans on coming home. He wanted to go back to school. He wanted to get his life on track. And each letter that I wrote to him, I just tried to give him words of encouragement, that there is a better life, this isn't the way to go. And he knew that. It was hard for him to distance himself from that, but he was trying his best to do that. And now he's never going to get that chance to prove to us that he could do that, that he could have had a productive life, that he could have had a family of his own, that he could have had children of his own and could watch them grow up.

His brothers have lost a brother that was very dear to them, and it's something that they have to live with for the rest of their life too. We weren't the only two that was victimized. He had cousins, aunts and uncles that loved him very much, and their lives have been devastated too.

Mr. Grange should have to pay for what he's done to us. Like I say, we've already been sentenced to life, and we don't get anything from that, and this is something that we're going to have to live with and deal with for the rest of our lives, to go through all the holidays, the birthdays that we'll never have any more.

I'd just like to thank you for letting me speak.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Kaiser.

MR. WETLE: Lastly, your Honor, we'd ask that Mr. Wayne Kaiser come forward.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Kaiser?

MR. KAISER: Your Honor, our son meant the world to us when he came into this world, and we believed that God only had the right to take him out of this world, and we still believe that and everything. We taught him right from wrong. We taught him the Bible. We ______ Satan.

And when Nick left to come out here and everything, we had mixed emotions and everything. We knew he was young. He come out here, down in Portland, when he was 18 years old, and we told him, you know, he was just too young, but he knew— he thought he knew everything. Nick was a _____. Nick had some friends down in Portland that told him how beautiful it was out here, and even my wife, yesterday, flying over we could see what— you know, together, what he enjoyed out here. And we knew— We don't know why Nick changed his life when he came out here. And— Well, we— we do know some. But he got into the wrong company, and that's the wrong road you take sometimes.

And after he got involved with the drugs and everything, you know, and he got caught, in the five weeks that he lived after that and everything, everybody down in Seattle that we

1415

16 17

18

19 20

22

21

24

25

23

talked to, and even the calls and the conversations that I had and my wife had, we could see a change in his life. And we knew that once-- Well, we-- we made an agreement with the DA's office that when Nick got out of the mess he did that a plane ticket would be ready for him to come back home and we were going to do everything possible to help him out and everything out of this. ____ back the right way instead of the wrong way. Of course, we'll never get that right now.

I got to somehow look to Mr. Grange ____ because it's the way I believe in the Bible and what we're commanded to do. Somehow, my heart will forgive you some day and everything, But I question why and everything you because I have to. could do this and everything. Nick never done anything to You didn't know him and everything, and, you know, he had a life. He enjoyed life to the fullest and everything. And he didn't want it to be this way. We saw the fear when he was out, in his voice, the five weeks, and that's the hardest thing I've had to live with. By not going out to see really what he was involved with, because the DA's office down in Seattle never told us what he was involved with and how deep and everything, and what danger he was really in.

And I listened on the trial, and I watched, and I saw the jurors, and all 12 of them convicted you of what you done, and I think any other jury down the road will do the same thing and everything. I don't have nothing against you and every-

22

23

24

25

thing, and I disagree with Jerry here one thing. He says you have a lack of remorse. You have no remorse if you don't want to help us and the police to find out who— to get everybody that was involved in this and everything. We want everybody. If you have any kindness in your heart, you'll help us. We've been told different things where you don't want to work with the DA's office. I do not know why. I can't believe that you have feelings. Look what you're going through now. Why would you cover up for somebody and take the big rap? I don't know where you get being a snitch or a nark and everything. Don't—

I talked to your dad many times Listen to your parents. and everything in the trial and everything. He's a good man. I'm sure he taught you right from wrong growing up, and why you would do this and hold back from us, don't you think we care about -- cared about our son as much as he cares about I don't know what your life was with (sic) and vou now? I don't know how much your dad loved you and everything. But you should have-- You should do right, not everything. I don't know how much your accompliwrong, and everything. ces were involved in this. You should speak out. Don't hold it in. I don't know why.

We have two other sons at home. They asked me what's going to happen to them and everything. I don't know what to tell them. They want us to-- They tell me to tell the judge

14

15

11

12

13

16 17

19

18

2021

2223

24

25

to lock you up and throw away the key. Do I tell them that? You know, this has been hard and everything. I sleep at night. I wake up. I can wake up, I jump out of bed, I run to the hallway. I see my son in my sleep. I see his tears. hear his cries for help, and I can't do nothing. It's very, very sad that you can't do nothing. And for someone _ and find out they've done this and everything, you know, I can't believe anybody in the world, you could hold back from not cooperating and getting the ones that ordered this. It's But if you ____ way then I have no sad and everything. feelings toward you, and everything, you know. You get what you deserve, and everything. I don't--

Judge, your Honor, when you kill somebody, where's the law at? This man's killed two people. We're talking about years and everything, you know. How-- I never thought it'd be like this. We're talking years. I get so tired of hearing points and years and things, you know. When you take someone's life, you shouldn't have a life and everything. You shouldn't be on the outside. Where's our law going today, and everything if we keep this up? We get lenient, lenient, lenient and This man was convicted of two murders, and now everything. we're going to talk about years, and then good time? Does my son get a second chance? Does my son get good time? No, he won't get any of that and everything. You got to take into consideration this man and everything. Granted, he'll--

Whenever he gets out, in the time he spends, he'll be an old man and everything, but, you know, he shouldn't see the outside of a jail if he doesn't work with and tell who ordered this deal. There should— You know, there should be no second chance. I— I just wish that there was some way of getting through to him, your Honor, you know.

That's all I have to say, you know.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kaiser. Could I please have those exhibits?

Mr. Wetle, is there anything further you wanted to add?

MR. WETLE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, and that would conclude the State's side of the presentation, then?

MR. WETLE: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, I'm going to take about a ten minute recess, and then we'll come back and complete the sentencing hearing.

COURT RECESSED

29.

COURT RECONVENED

2

n

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

25

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Simeone, I'll hear from you next.

MR. SIMEONE: Thank you, your Honor. In my presentation this morning I'd like to have two people speak on behalf of Mr. Grange as character witnesses. I'd like to have his father speak, as well, and then I would speak, and lastly I'd like Mr. Grange to address the court, if he so desires.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SIMEONE: First I'd like to have Jeff Cunningham come forward and-- to present to the court--

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Cunningham, if you'll come over and stand next to Mr. Simeone, please.

Would you turn that monitor slightly? Thank you.

All right, Mr. Cunningham, what would you like to have the court know at this point?

I've been friends with John for a right MR. CUNNINGHAM: many years, and I've known him to ever be violent in any way. I really don't know why this all happened, but I never thought that John would ever do anything like this. He's always been I can't apologize a good person. ____ helped a lot of people. I know it's--I don't know the It's-for him or myself. I don't even know what I should say. I just know words-that, you know, I've never known anything like this to ever John's always been a good person. happen.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Cunningham. I think you can go ahead and accompany the officer back— this officer back downstairs, I guess, and then I guess we'll see you this afternoon. A little bit later. Thank you, sir.

And Mr. Simeone?

MR. SIMEONE: Your Honor, the next person I'd have address the court would be Corrections Officer Wattel (sp?) from the Spokane County Jail. Officer Wattel, if you'd come forward, please?

THE COURT: And did you want him to testify?

MR. SIMEONE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, sir, did you-- You can stand kind of close to-- Mr. Simeone, would you put that microphone a little closer? I think the other remarks were picked up fine.

MR. SIMEONE:

THE COURT: Yes, sir?

MR. WATTEL: I work for the Spokane County Sheriff's Office, and I work in corrections. Mr. Grange here is— he's an inmate on my floor. I was asked to come as kind of a character witness. I don't know much about the case. I try and keep it that way with the guys I work with, it makes my job easier.

The only thing I know is, you know, John's fairly quiet, keeps to himself a lot of the times. We talk off and on. I

really don't know anything about the case. He keeps his room clean, he's polite. I do feel for all the families involved on both sides. I just know that I was raised—born and raised a Christian, and it's up—I believe it's—God knows the truth, and I hope the best for everyone.

That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, sir. And Mr. Simeone?

MR. SIMEONE: Your Honor, the next to speak on Mr.

Grange's behalf would be his father, Mr. Douglas Grange.

THE COURT: All right, sir, if you'll come over here close to this microphone.

MR. DOUGLAS GRANGE: First of all, I didn't know I could read letters in the court, and my daughter's written a letter and I'd like to read it.

THE COURT: Yes, I have seen that. I think that's the one Mr. Simeone made sure that I had, and also Mr. Wetle has seen it as well.

MR. DOUGLAS GRANGE: Okay, thank you.

Before you make your decision this morning, I would like to share my own thoughts. The future of my little brother rests in your hands. The ____ in the courtroom which convicted John of murder knew him the way I knew him. I did not hear anything that convinced me he killed those two people. I heard the testimony of two criminals that had their own lives as motive to lie. They will spend approximately one year each in prison, while my brother is looking at the rest of his life.

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24 Oh.

25

John is not a criminal. He had never been suspected of any crime or drug or gang activity. We were teenagers in the very heart of gang activity, and John participated in-- not in any of that. He is a good kid. When I first heard what John was charged with, two counts of second degree murder, I was shocked. I thought that was impossible, it was a mistake, or that his life was in danger, self-defense.

Chewy is short for Chewbacca, a character in the original Star Wars film. A huge, hairy thing with an even bigger heart that wouldn't That is how John's friend dehurt a mouse. scribed him at Outdoor (?) School where he was first called Chewy. Outdoor School was probably the best time he ever had in his life. was accepted for who he was, be-- other kids He fit in. And he went actually liked him. back to camp in high school as a camp counselor to try and give other kids the same terrific experience that he had.

John also loves animals very much. have been a way for him to give and receive unconditional love his entire life. You saw the love in the courtroom when the Prosecutor mentioned John abandoned his dog, Drexler, in To everyone else, John probably the woods. appeared irrational and angry, but keep in mind John never stopped trying to get his dog back. Dane's mother lied on the stand and said that John made no attempt to get his dog back. personally overheard several phone conversations where John attempted to make arrangements She also stated that she to get his dog back. kept the dog right up until the month before the trial took-- trial, and then took the dog to the pound, where it could be destroyed. know the information came as a hurtful shock to John.

I do believe that John was a drug dealer enforcer for a drug family, was living--

33.

I don't believe that John was a drug dealer, the enforcer for a drug family. He was living at home with his mom, sister and niece. I think that a big time drug dealer could at least afford his own apartment.

I would like to thank you for taking the time to read this letter. ____ these points when making your decision.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DOUGLAS GRANGE: And then I have a statement of my own.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Grange, go ahead.

MR. DOUGLAS GRANGE: John Douglas Grange is my only son. He's a gentle boy. He has never been in any trouble.

I raised this young man, and while he sat in the courtroom during the trial, I was kept outside these door and I was not called to testify as a witness for both the prosecution and defense. I have and— have relevant information about his innocence, and he will soon discover more facts that would show my son is not involved in these murders. I waited outside these doors to present that information, but my son's attorney, Robert Simeone, did not call me to testify as he had promised and as my son expected, and as the court expected. So I sat outside while my son sat in this room where justice is supposed to be dispensed.

I know that my son did not receive justice because I was never called to the witness stand to testify on his behalf. And neither were other witnesses. There are other witnesses

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who know the truth. I sat outside this courtroom for the entire trial, not knowing how little justice my son would receive. When the few witnesses had been called, and the defense and prosecution had finished, I was finally allowed While the court gave instructions to the in the courtroom. jury, my son's attorney, Robert Simeone, was not present in the courtroom. The Honorable Rebecca Baker, here to see that justice is done, asked my son if it was okay to continue the proceedings if the Prosecutor, Jerry Wetle, left the room. wanted to tell my son no, wait for your attorney. My son did not want to anger the judge and did not understand the terrible mistake he was making by waiving his fundamental And yes, how does this happen? A guilty verdict followed these instructions with no physical evidence on the record that proves my son murdered his friends. Only bartered highly suspect hearsay testimony.

I know that my son still weeps over the murder of his friends, Nick Kaiser and Josh Schaefer. I know that he did not commit these terrible murders.

Therefore, your Honor, I move that this court-- for an order of continuance and an innocent stay on these proceedings today, thus allowing my son at least a month's additional time to file renewed motions for discovery, and issue of subpoenas which when fulfilled and presented will provide significant evidence this honorable court needs to order a new trial for

my son.

Furthermore, I ask the court to appoint a new defense counsel who will provide my _____ son with an adequate and efficient defense. My son has tried repeatedly to ask your honor to provide efficient defense counsel, but was told that he must go through his appointed attorney first, and Simeone has not brought this request of my son to your court.

Your Honor, I thank you for your attention.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Grange. And, Mr. Simeone, did you have another person to speak before yourself?

MR. SIMEONE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, then, I'll hear from you.

MR. SIMEONE: Thank you, your Honor, counsel. Your Honor, it's a difficult day. It's an unpleasant day. It's an unpleasant day for the unpleasant day for the relatives of the decedents. It's an unpleasant day for society itself, who is bringing before the bar one of its own, about to impose serious punishment. No-- Not the least of all, an unpleasant day for Mr. Grange, who sits here before you, and you're about to impose sentence.

Your Honor, I want to start with a premise. It's a very simple premise. It's probably one that's very obvious and really doesn't strike us straight off, but there is no way that any fact surrounding the charge and conviction of a murder are going to be pleasant. There's no way that you're

going to get any kind of a case like this before your court that's not of an egregious nature. By very definition, it is that. A murder, the taking of a life. A conviction of that crime.

But I also want to review and have the court consider and keep foremost in its mind the purpose of sentencing, and what it does and what its goal is and what it's supposed to achieve, and what it's not supposed to achieve, more importantly.

The purpose of imprisonment, the purpose of that kind of a sanction, is for punishment. We've heard that it's for rehabilitation. It's for deterrence. It's for protection of society. But what's not among the purposes of imposition of jail time and sentencing is revenge. What it's not, it is not a mechanism, it is not a means by which we vent our hate. It is not a means by which we vent our bitterness.

The State is seeking a sentence of 63.3 years. That would be 53.3 years of jail time under the conviction for the murder, and two more five year terms for a weapons enhancement, that is a firearms enhancement, for a Class A felony, which is a five year sentence apiece.

John Grange is 26-plus years of age right now. With the imposition of the maximum sentence that the State asks, he will be 90 years old at the time he's released from prison. There will be little life left, considering what the life

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

expectancy is, if any. There will be very little, if any, vitality in him at that age.

So it crosses my mind to wonder what drives such a recommendation that the State's making, the bottom end of this range being 50 years, that in itself being a substantial, John being 76 years of age, if substantial period of time. he's fortunate enough to live out his sentence in prison, at the time he's released, if that sentence were imposed. drives a recommendation of the high end of the range here, and why?

You know, we already started out by saying that there's never a situation involving a conviction of a murder where you're going to have less than heinous facts. You've got deaths, you've got shooting, you've got family members who They testify as to appear before you today in obvious grief. the difficulty they're having with their lives, the posttraumatic stress disorder they're having, and I understand that. It's very difficult for me to get up today to try to do anything to negate the emotional tsunami that's flooded over the court here today with their presentations. It's just too much, and it is not a very enviable position for one to have, and it's hard to do it with any aplomb or any dignity.

But there's a flavor, there's a flavor to the statements that were made, your Honor, and what you see is-- and it's very detectable, it's very palpable. You see a hatred, you

5

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

see a bitterness, you see an anger, you see a blood lust. Mr. Kaiser, I think, came closest to anybody who spoke today to showing some kind of compassion when he began his presentation, and he said that he will someday in his heart forgive, it will be hard. But it wasn't long into his presentation before his attitude changed and his position changed, and it became he wants to see the maximum, and he doesn't know why it is that he should get out— that is John Grange get out of jail any time before the maximum sentence would be served. So that changed. So the whole flavor of everything we've heard was hatred. It was anger. It was bitterness.

I wonder if there wasn't something else we heard, though, your Honor. I wonder if what we heard was a little bit of pain as the result of some guilt that people feel here. minimized--We minimized the extent of the deceaseds' involvement in a very dangerous, the most dangerous, business there is. We heard them called stumbling. I have to characterize it as more than stumbling. The fact of the matter is that this shooting, the shooting that occurred of these young boys, it was the culmination, it was the culmination of a force that was already set in motion. already happened when they entered the drug trade, not on a simple possession or simple trafficking level, but probably on the highest scale there was. And it's un--It's-unfortunate. I don't like it any more than anybody else likes

it, but the fact of the matter is that their death-- their deaths were pre-cast, by virtue of the fact of what they had engaged in here. It was a fait accompli. It was hap-- It was destined to happen. This shooting was-- This shooting was just nothing but the-- this was the end result of something that had already designed and was irreversible.

So if we're talking about a sentence, your Honor, that has a range, there's a reason for a range. There's a reason why the Legislature, when it set about trying to develop the guidelines we have here, came up with a range. There's—There's no question but that the fact of a killing is a heinous— it's a heinous matter. But that being said, and that being understood, there is still a range, and there is a reason why there is a range.

If there is any meaning at all to a range, if there's any meaning at all to a low end and a high end, then there has to be some consideration of a low end, and there has to be some consideration of why a recommendation of the high end. Because the court, even today, has to act and has to sit as an unbiased, unprejudiced body. It cannot be influenced by passion or prejudice. And what comes out here in the sentencing, the facts that really drive a recommendation of high here, does not have anything to do with the facts of what happened in the killing of these young men. Does not have anything to do with that. It does not have anything to do

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

with that it was a tortuous kind of a killing. It does not have anything to do with the fact that they were entirely blameless, innocent, uninvolved in any criminal activity. Babes in the woods. That they were minors. What it has to do with is preying upon the sympathy of the court here to see what the emotional effects upon survivors has been, and why That, I submit, will always be the fact when it comes to a death of anybody, anywhere in this world. will be a survivor, there will be a friend, there will be an acquaintance, there will be somebody who will be mourning, shedding tears for those survivors. I--I have nothing but compassion, and I feel the sorrow of the family members, and I-- My-- My total-- My heart goes out to you. And whether or not that's ever something that's going to register in your mind, I'll never know.

But the fact of the matter, your Honor, is that there's a range for a reason. Mr. Grange has an offender score of zero in his life. He's 26 years old. He has never been convicted of any crime. And contrary to the impression that has been imposed upon the court here by the State in its presentation, and almost anybody else's that had anything to do with it, Mr. Grange was not a member and not involved in a high level drug selling or drug trafficking operation. When he was apprehended he had \$5 in his possession. He's lived a very modest life. He drove a 15 or 16 year old pickup truck. He's never

9

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

23

22

2425

had any material possessions, nor has he wanted any. So if there's any suggestion that there's a money motive here, or need for glorification of himself, or betterment of his worldly lot, it's————it's ill-stated, it's misstated, it's wrong, because that's not what he wants, that's not who he is.

In the SRA, your Honor, there's a section, and I don't have it quoted because I didn't write it back, but it talks about a governor's pardon. And a governor's pardon is something that can be given and granted when you have-- people have life sentences without the possibility of parole. one of the conditions that are set in place there in the statute with regards to that governor's parole, is that the individual under consideration not be less than 60 years old. But what's the--What's the reason for that? Legislators and whoever advised them when that statute was written knew that when a person reaches that age, largely any of the criminal kind of tendencies, any of the fight, any of that spirit that generally will lead a younger person to act in a criminal way, or to be a disruptive member of society, that's gone. It's mostly out. At that time the governor can consider that, because there becomes a point in our life where the spirit's gone.

If the sen-- If the court imposes a sentence of the bottom of the range here, which is 50 years, considering the enhancement, Mr. Grange will be 76 years old, if he lives-- if

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

he has the good fortune to live to that age being in the prison system, your Honor. He deserves that. He deserves that extra bit of consideration.

Your Honor, I presented to you the drawings that Mr. Grange did. I showed them to you. These are renderings of an individual who's never before drawn seriously, or never made an attempt to draw in his life. I dare say that if I were to try to draw any one of these, if I spent a year on it I probably couldn't really match it in terms of its quality and its detail. I don't present those to you because I'm trying I'm presenting them to you for another to show him off. That reason is that if you look at those, you'll see reason. nothing about them that expresses any kind of an attitude or a feeling except that one of happiness and joy. all happy pictures. They all are expressions of an individual who has a happy spirit. They're not the kinds of renderings that you'd see of a mad man or a mad person or angry person. A person with hate in his heart. I think they're expressions of his soul, and they are, and I encourage him to continue doing those because I think it's good for him, but I think it's also good for the court to know what kind of an individual you're talking about.

Mr. Cunningham testified against Mr. Grange at trial. He also at trial said that he's never known John to do anything violent in his life. In a personal interview I had with him

11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

2425

before trial he said he loves him. It strikes me as curious that that testimony that he had never seen John do anything violent in his life came out after the State's testimony elicited that he personally witnessed or thought he witnessed John shoot these victims. I can't see the consistency anywhere in what he said, never mind the rest of his statement, but that point in particular.

Your Honor, there's nothing lenient about a 50 year sentence, especially if the statute is read to mean that there's no time to be gained beneath 50 years. I know that there's no good time to be gained on the ten year sentence for the weapons enhancement. If the statute is to be read that there's a 20 year minimum for first degree murder, that meaning 20 years for each count, then we're talking about a rock solid 50 years. There's nothing lenient about that. But there's everything in the way of mercy about that. And the court has to show mercy, because your sentence here today, your Honor, should be one that inflicts punishment, but it's got to be punishment that's tempered with mercy, because this is, after all-- After all is said and done, we are a civil society, and we're taking one of our own today and we're depriving him of effectively the rest of his life if the maximum sentence is imposed. To do more than that, your Honor, I think plays right into the hands of the pressure that's been placed upon the court, plays right into the hands

of the pressure that the prosecution is succumbing to when it agrees to recommend the maximum sentence here, for no other good reasons except that there is a lot of emotional pressure, a lot of emotional push, to see to it that anger, bitterness, guilt is all shifted from one place to another, and that right into the lap of Mr. Grange. There's nothing more in this whole process than somebody who's at the end of the pipe, at the end of a long process that began when these individuals who are now deceased first found their way into high level drug trade.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Simeone. Now, Mr. Grange, I'll have you stand, please, sir, and I'll ask you, is there anything you would like to say before I make a decision on your case?

MR. GRANGE: Yes, your Honor, there's a couple things. I want the families to know that I did think about Nick and Josh 24 hours a day. That's what it means by being in prison for such a crime, because you are constantly reminded. All night long while you sleep, and all day long while you're awake, why you're in jail, because two people were murdered. That's why you're there. And I remember, I-- You're right, I didn't know Josh, but I remember Josh's face. I remember Josh's face as he was sitting in the passenger seat of Nick's Bronco when him and Jeff and Nicholas left the barter fair, and I waved to

5

6 7 8

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

them, have a good trip. I remember Josh's face very clearly, I remember his face from and I remember Nicholas' face. In that April I met him for the first earlier barter fair. time, and I hung out with him and partied with him. Yes, I do remember, and yes, I do cry over them every day. Not because of my punishment, not because I'm in jail because of them. cry over them because they truly were people of my family, the Rainbow Family, which I am a member of. And as you people well know, especially family members, know that is not a drug organization. Yes, there may be people that do deal drugs in the Rainbow Family. I'm not one of them. I don't believe Josh was one of them, although there were statements given by people that he was. And, of course, we know Nick was. would not have killed anybody, because within the Rainbow Family, and especially within my religion, which is Rastafarian, Rastafarian being a descendent of King Solomon, believes in forgiveness for all things. No matter what you do, for-And there would be no reason giveness for all things. whatsoever for me to kill these two individuals.

Of course, as I stand in this court today, I'm found convicted of killing Nicky and killing Josh, and so I must face a sentence for that.

I want to state that Josh and Nick and myself, and Jeff, all were rebellious in nature. And rebellion mostly means being lost and desperately trying to find somebody to listen

to you and some place to belong. And we had found that in the Rainbow Family.

The prosecution had the audacity to say that the Rainbow Family was a drug organization that I was an enforcer for, that I was a debt collector for, that I was for.

I want to read right now the Rainbow mantra which people of the Rainbow Family live by, and this is our philosophy and this is what we believe.

When the earth is ravaged and the animals are dying, a new tribe of people shall come unto the earth from many colors, classes, creeds, and who, by their actions and deeds, shall make the earth green again. They will be known as the Warriors of the Rainbow.

That family that you over and over and over again attacked as being a drug family is no such thing, as you well knew before we even came to trial. But yet you let the jurors sit here and think that the Rainbow Family was somebody that would put a hit out on somebody, would order a murder of somebody. That is not true. You people know that. You people knew that before the trial started, you people knew that during the trial.

I would like to address you, Judge, and put these thoughts into your mind. What would it be like to live in a building all your life-- to live in a building all your life and have all the light controlled, the flow of air controlled, the temperature controlled, the humidity controlled. Every

person, you are controlled. Every person you meet, controlled, and the whole day scheduled, having never changing except how many days left until your grave. In that, that will be my life until I'm released. That was _____. My daily event every day will be the same day as the day before. If that is not death, I do not know what is.

The last thing I'd like to say, when you— when you're leaving at night and you find yourself listening to their keys jingle as they walk the floors, and owning none of your own, you will come close to understanding the sheer terror of the soul that comes from being banished from all commerce with mankind.

That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Grange. Anything else, Mr. Simeone?

MR. SIMEONE: Your Honor, a couple of housekeeping matters that I did not bring up in my statement to the court. With regards to any restitution order, I'd ask that it be waived. I ask that attorney's fees be waived, as well. Mr. Grange will be in no position to pay those costs back over the course of his life. He'll need whatever money— whatever menial amounts of money he earns in prison for himself and his own personal needs.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. All right, Mr. Grange, I'll have you stand, please, sir.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

MR. DOUGLAS GRANGE: Your Honor, were you going to move on my order?

THE COURT: No, sir, not at this time. That would need to be dealt with on the next level of appeal. Thank you, sir. I'll touch on it in my remarks here.

Mr. Grange, your remarks point up to me one of the things that came to mind during the course of the trial on how-- how the specific deterrence principle involved in sentencing is-has to be foremost in my mind. Not specific deterrence, I don't think that you excuse me, but general deterrence. need to be deterred from doing this again, although some of the letters I received mention that you should not be let out because you would maybe be able to do this again. Certainly, that would be a possibility if there weren't sentencing guidelines. But even the low end of the sentencing guideline would be adequate to deter you individually from ever recommitting such an offense, or any other crime, really, probably.

But we don't just stop there. We don't just stop at whether or not you would do this again, or whether you wouldn't.

You're, at this juncture, still maintaining your innocence of this crime. You do have another couple of levels of appeal that will allow you to pursue those arguments, as well as your father's remarks that he made today. It's unfortunate in many

ways, and very sad that your father did not get the chance to hear the testimony of the various witnesses at trial. You heard it, and, of course, I heard it, and my conclusion was that the evidence was overwhelming, and there was no-- You were afforded every aspect of a fair trial throughout, as well as excellent representation, as I mentioned before during a previous hearing.

At this point it's always very convenient, very easy, for the family and for even the defendant to blame his attorney for a conviction, but I don't think that anything but the facts themselves are to blame for your conviction, and certainly the evidence that was presented in an orderly fashion in court was clear and, as I indicated, overwhelming.

But I don't think you— I think you probably in your heart of hearts know what you did, and you have a sense of the magnitude of it, and that you do have some remorse. What is getting in your way is still trying to get out of it, still trying not to have the total consequences of your actions come upon you. One day, I hope for your benefit, that you will admit and do something to take responsibility for your actions. If you do, it may result in some forward movement in your own development. But when I hear people talk about lack of remorse, I am struck by the presentence investigation remarks of the CCO, the corrections officer, who did the PSI, who indicates that you have absolutely no remorse and no— no

indication that you would ever take a different path in terms of your drug involvement, for example.

MR. GRANGE: Your Honor--

THE COURT: And I hear also that you say that you are still devoted to the people who got you into this. Your-Your friends, you call them. Your family, you call them. And I think it's to those people that the kind of sentence that comes out of the court today, a message has to go to. In other words, it's the people who are you associates and friends who might choose the road that you did to fulfill a sense of obligation to the higher-ups.

You say it wasn't a drug organization. I doubt that it started out as a drug organization, but certainly that was an aspect, a very sordid aspect, of the Rainbow Family that came out in the trial at some——— at some length that was very—provided a very persuasive motive for you to do what you did.

So the general deterrence principle is what I think has to be looked at, along with several aspects of what we look at in a sentence. What will send a message to others who might choose this road. Others who might decide to follow through with a favor of this kind, if this can even be called a favor to someone. And certainly, with the monetary motive that was mentioned in the trial, even now you deny that that occurred, but— And I think it's true, you never got any money. You were probably used, as well as others, in the process.

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

Simeone talked about revenge not being a proper concern of the court in sentencing. That may be so, but retribution is an element that we can and do concern ourselves with at sentencing. What is a fair result when one is talking about trying to render a just punishment that takes into account both mercy, so to speak, to the various parties, and punishment to those responsible? I think you have, as I indicated, a lack of insight as to how your actions led you down this road. This was a cold-blooded, very senseless act, money and status related for you in your misguided way. choices you have made since may be also an indication of your lack of insight into how to make it right, as opposed to how to make it worse. You know, not working with the DA's office or whatever is your right. It takes courage to do the right It's easy to take the wrong path. It's much thing, though. harder to take the right path.

And we have had some talk here that we usually do in these kinds of situations where we talk about whether the victims were totally innocent, or whether they are to blame in their own deaths. Yes, they were involved in dangerous activities. They have been deterred from any further involvement in those dangerous activities. Others who have seen this have also been deterred, I hope, from involvement in that level of activity. But this does not take from the—take away the fact that they were members of families. They were 21 year

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

And the devastation of their families and their olds. permanent loss can't be ignored by the court, and can't be ignored, also, is the kind of suffering that they went through even leading up to learning that there was a murder. Then, of course, the suffering that the family goes through in having to live through the gruesome images at the trial, hearing about the callous nature of the crime.

And in some ways your father -- I saw your sister present during the trial. Your father was deprived of hearing of the details, and has probably been shielded from the details by I gather that he has, from what he said. But there's you. obviously a ripple effect within your family. There are people in your family--your family of origin--that care about you, and whose lives have also been devastated by the choices It has that ripple effect. that you've made.

All I can say is thank goodness for professional help, because those families, all of them, will need that help in order to recover in some small way from the results of your actions.

So what to do? Definitely the court will sentence you to the high end of the standard range, Mr. Grange. I think that that has to be done, not just to send a message to you, but to send a message to others who might choose this road, as I say.

The sentence will be 760 months. I have no, of course, say over what particular prison environment you end up in, but

4

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

I have my predictions in that. I certainly hope that you will process this in a way that you can take some responsibility for it at some point down the line.

The restitution will be an absolute necessity in that you may well have a job within the prison setting that can pay the families back in some small measure for the financial loss that they've suffered. There's no way of paying back to them, except perhaps in some way by taking responsibility directly for what they've lost. But at least the financial restitution will be ordered, but that will be at a later hearing and, Mr. Grange, you have right to be present at that hearing, or you can leave it up to counsel to deal with it, with contact with you on the particulars of it by mail, and that'll be up to you.

There'll be no contact with the Schaefers, there'll be no contact with the Kaisers, no contact with Ms. Soucie, no contact with Mr. Williams, and no contact with Mr. Cunningham. And that will be in effect for your entire life.

There will also be a crime victims penalty. It sounds--Just the pittance that it is, of \$500. There will be the court costs of \$110, and I will order that you pay the attorney fees that have been incurred. Those are amounts that the State has many, many years to collect from you while you're in prison, and you should have the responsibility of paying as much of it back as you possibly can, from whatever

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

limited earnings that you can experience while you're in prison.

And I know that it's not a happy prospect for you to be in It's not meant to be a happy prospect. prison. hope that there can be some good that comes out of it from your end in terms of rehabilitation from reordering your thinking, and taking a better approach to life, being of some use to younger prisoners when they come in, once you're an old-timer there, in trying to get them to take their lives in a different direction before they end up being either like you, in prison for the vast majority of your life, or like the two victims, who have had even worse results. So perhaps you can find it in your heart and in your mind at some point in the future to serve that kind of positive purpose.

Even though your options will be severely limited, there is always something you can do to make it better, and I hope that you will.

So have a seat now and we'll go over the paperwork.

MR. WETLE: Your Honor, did you want to include court appointed defense experts and other defense costs?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WETLE: And did you-- I think the statute talks about community placement, even though it's--

THE COURT: Yes, there will be the two years of community placement with the standard conditions, in addition to the no-

22

23

24

25

contact conditions. The court will also adopt the conditions that are set forth in Appendix H proposed by the CCO. You want to just take it off the back end of--

MR. WETLE: Actually, I think I have those down, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WETLE: Your Honor, one of the conditions in the PSI talks about have no contact with witnesses associated with the case. That would be ____ need dates of birth for all those individuals.

THE COURT: Well, I'm thinking the ones that are--

MR. WETLE: That are listed?

THE COURT: --listed. Schaefers, Kaisers, Maija Soucie,
Dane Williams and Jeffrey Cunningham would be the ones that--

MR. WETLE: Thank you. In terms of the restitution hearing, we need to set a date for that.

THE COURT: Yes, we need to set that within something like 90 days, I think, so why don't we give it about two months.

MR. SIMEONE: Your Honor, did you see the motion and affidavit for order of indigency that I placed on--

THE COURT: I did. I didn't see the order, but I looked over the motion. It looks fine.

MR. SIMEONE: May I hand the order up to the court, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

evidentiary items that were seized from Mr. Grange pursuant to search warrants that were never put into the State's case? Can we get those released now and get an order to that effect?

MR. WETLE: As far as I'm concerned, anything that was not used in the trial could be released, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SIMEONE: And we need to include that in the judgment and sentence?

THE COURT: You could, or you could draw up a separate order. Why don't you do that, actually. Just draw up a separate order and get Mr. Wetle to sign off on it.

MR. SIMEONE: Okay.

THE COURT: Then we only have one page to copy to give to the Sheriff.

MR. SIMEONE: Okay.

THE COURT: Instead of 90. Let the record reflect that I did have the Clerk mark as exhibits, what, 1 through what?

THE CLERK: Seventeen.

THE COURT: One through 17, the various statements of family members and others that were submitted as letters, and I also had the PSI marked as an exhibit for purpose of this hearing, in case there was any need for that to be reviewed.

MR. WETLE: I notice in the Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals-- I don't know if Mr. Simeone handed the court that copy. It talks about two counts of murder in the first

MR. WETLE: Is it listed as--

25

25

60.

CERTIFICATE

I, Judy Americk, do hereby certify that the within proceedings were recorded pursuant to Civil Rule 80, and further certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the sentencing hearing held in the above matter on April 20, 2001.

DATED at Colville, Washington, this 17th day of June, 2001.

Judy Americk